#### **CETIFICATION**

SDG No:

MC46737

Laboratory:

Accutest, Massachusetts

Site:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release

Matrix:

Groundwater

Assessment, Humacao, PR Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** 

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Phase 2A Release Assessment Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken June 30-July 5, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC46737. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MAPED EPH, Massachusets Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
MC46737-1	OSGP4-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46737-2	OSGP4-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46737-3	OSGP5-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46737-4	OSGP5-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46737-5	OSGP6-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

**Chemist License 1888** 

Signature:

Date:

July 22, 2016

#### taw Batta. BE 17705.

#### **SGS** Accutest

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Project:	BMSMC Phase 2A						
Run #1 Run #2	File ID DF DE14788.D 1	Analyzed 07/08/16	By TA	Prep D 07/06/1		Prep Batch OP48079	Analytical Batch GDE822
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume Final Volume 835 ml 2.0 ml	lme		St.			
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	6.0	1.9	ug/l		
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	6.0	0.43	ug/l		
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	6.0	0.69	ug/l		
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	6.0	0.36	ug/l		
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	6.0	0.35	ug/l		
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	6.0	0.54	ug/l		
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	6.0	0.44	ug/l		
207-08-9	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	ND	6.0	0.42	ug/l		
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	6.0	0.52	ug/l		
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	6.0	0.46	ug/l		
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	6.0	0.40	ug/l		
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	6.0	0.47	ug/l		
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	6.0	0.35	ug/l		
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	6.0	0.54	ug/l		
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	6.0	1.1	ug/l		
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	6.0	0.36	ug/l		
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	6.0	0.72	ug/l		
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj		120	34	ug/l	J	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	40.7	120	20	ug/l	JB	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	60.5	120	32	ug/l	JB	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	43.3	120	34	ug/l	J	

Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
o-Terphenyl	52%		40-140%
2-Fluorobiphenyl	77%		40-140%
1-Chlorooctadecane	50%		40-140%
2-Bromonaphthalene	85%		40-140%
	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane	o-Terphenyl 52% 2-Fluorobiphenyl 77% 1-Chlorooctadecane 50%	o-Terphenyl 52% 2-Fluorobiphenyl 77% 1-Chlorooctadecane 50%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

D: MC46	737_2			1	Data Campled:	06/30/16
		ater				
MADI	EP EPH R	EV 1.1 SW846	3510C	I	Percent Solids:	n/a
BMSN	C Phase 2	2A Release Asse	ssment, F	łumacao, PR		
ile ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batcl	h Analytical Batch
E14789.D	1	07/08/16	TA	07/06/16	OP48079	GDE822
	AQ - Q MADI BMSM	AQ - Ground Wa MADEP EPH R BMSMC Phase 2	AQ - Ground Water MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 BMSMC Phase 2A Release Asse	AQ - Ground Water MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, I	AQ - Ground Water  MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR  The ID DF Analyzed By Prep Date	AQ - Ground Water MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR  BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR  BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR  BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

	Initial Volume	Final Volume	
Run #1	860 ml	2.0 ml	
Run #2			

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.8	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.8	0.41	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.8	0.67	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.8	0.35	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.8	0.34	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.52	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.8	0.43	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.41	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.8	0.50	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.8	0.45	ug/i	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.39	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.8	0.46	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.8	0.34	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.8	0.53	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.8	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.8	0.35	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.8	0.70	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.6	120	33	ug/l	J
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	35.9	120	19	ug/l	JB
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	49.6	120	31	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	39.6	120	33	ug/l	J
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limi	ts	
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	52%		40-14	10%	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	77% 40-140%		10%		
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	46%		40-14	10%	
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	83%		40-14	10%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

 $B \,=\, Indicates \,\, analyte \,\, found \,\, in \,\, associated \,\, method \,\, blank$ 

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP5-GWD
Lab Sample ID:	MC46737-3
T. C. Amiron	AO C

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: 07/01/16 Date Received: 07/06/16

Matrix: Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE14790.D	1	07/08/16	TA	07/06/16	OP48079	GDE822
T) 110							

Run #2

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	850 mt	2.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.9	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.9	0.42	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.9	0.68	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.9	0.36	ug/l	
50-32-8	Вепго(а)ругепе	ND	5.9	0.34	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.9	0.53	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.9	0.44	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.9	0.42	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.9	0.51	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.9	0.46	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.9	0.39	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.9	0.47	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.9	0.34	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.9	0.53	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.9	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.9	0.36	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.9	0.70	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	35.5	120	34	ug/l	J
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	32.9	120	20	ug/l	JΒ
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	51.6	120	32	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	35.5	120	34	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	54%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	71%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	78%		40-140%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Client Sample ID: OSGP5-GWS

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	ole ID: MC467 AQ - G MADE	37-4 round Wa P EPH RE		3510C ssment, H	итасао, Р	Date Per c		7/05/16 7/06/16 ⁄a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID DE14791.D	DF 1	Analyzed 07/08/16	By TA	Prep D 07/06/2		Prep Batch OP48079	Analytical Batch GDE822
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 880 ml	Final Volume 2.0 ml	olume					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
83-32-9	Acenaphthene		ND	5.7	1.8	ug/l		
208-96-8	Acenaphthylen	e	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l		
120-12-7	Anthracene		ND	5.7	0.66	ug/l		
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthra	cene	ND	5.7	0.34	ug/l		
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	2	ND	5.7	0.33	ug/l		
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluora	nthene	ND	5.7	0.51	ug/l		
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)pe	rylene	ND	5.7	0.42	ug/l		
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluora	nthene	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l		
218-01-9	Chrysene		ND	5.7	0.49	ug/l		
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)ant	hracene	ND	5.7	0.44	ug/l		
206-44-0	Fluoranthene		ND	5.7	0.38	ug/l		
86-73-7	Fluorene		ND	5.7	0.45	ug/l		

5.7

5.7

5.7

5.7

5.7

110

110

110

	C11-C22 Aromatics	53.8	110	33 ug/l
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1 321-60-8 3386-33-2 580-13-2	o-Terphenyl 2-Fluorobiphenyl 1-Chlorooctadecane 2-Bromonaphthalene	67% 83% 59% 89%		40-140% 40-140% 40-140% 40-140%

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

54.3

32.3

42.9



ND = Not detected

193-39-5

91-57-6

91-20-3

85-01-8

129-00-0

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene

C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)

2-Methylnaphthalene

C9-C18 Aliphatics

C19-C36 Aliphatics

Naphthalene

Phenanthrene

Pyrene

J = Indicates an estimated value

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

ug/l

JB

JB

J

0.33

0.51

1.1

0.35

0.68

33

19

31

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP6-GWD
Lab Sample ID:	MC46737-5
Matrix:	AQ - Ground V

900 ml

AQ - Ground Water MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Initial Volume Final Volume

2.0 ml

Date Sampled: 07/05/16 Date Received: 07/06/16 Percent Solids: n/a

Method: Project:

Run #1

Run #2

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

	ep Date Prep Batch Analytical Batch OP48079 GDE822
--	--

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.6	1.7	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.6	0.64	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.32	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.50	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.6	0.41	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.39	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.6	0.48	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.43	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.37	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.6	0.44	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.32	ug/I	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.6	0.50	ug/I	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.6	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
129-00-0	Ругепе	ND	5.6	0.67	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.9	110	32	ug/l	J
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	32.2	110	19	ug/l	JВ
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	53.5	110	30	ug/l	JΒ
	C11-C22 Aromatics	39.9	110	32	ug/l	Ĵ
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	

CAB No.	Part offere Vocaset les	Kuii# I	Ruun Z	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	49%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	82%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	40%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	79%		40-140%
	•			



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

100 E	
ACCUTE	

. . . .

ACCUTEST:				CHAI	N C	FC	CUST	ГО	DY				PAGE <u>I</u> OF <u>I</u>					<u> </u>							
NE			5	d (PAngelo Sir. TEL, SO	1481430	One, lide to FAX.	300-453	, МА 6 7733	11752				F	809960562229					Get from NJ			37			
Client / Reporting Informat	ton State	200-2-22	PRINCE !	Project	Informu	tion	XX0.25	352		-	-04	ritary	300 3	80	Req	ueste	Anal	yala (	see Ţ	EST C			3	201	Matrix Codes
Company North	200	Project Name.	2 1220000000000000000000000000000000000											П											
Anderson Mulholland & Associates		BMSMC Pha	se 2A Roloppo A	Lasasament										Ì										ľ	DW - Driving West
Straigh Adaption		Street	T. C. Stratistics on the Control of					P 11/2	reco	e-cor	entro	20-1-1-1	dan.	ec						H				- 1	WW - Wmor SW - Surface Wester
2750 Westchester Avenue, Suite 41					Station of the	-formette	n (2 48)	reed h	um Pu	worl t	<b>—</b>		_	E	i					H	- 1		ł		5O - 5ot
	Ζφ	Chy		PR	-	A semant							- 1	<u> </u>						H	- 1				SED-Sedment
Purchase NY Presci Caredi	10577 F-mil	Humação Project 9	-	FIN	Street A	TOTAL STREET				-	-		-1	MADEP	i					H	- 1	. 1			DI-OI UD-Oller Lened
Terry Taylor		029																			1		- 1		ARI - Ar SOL - Other Send
Prene 6	Facility	Chart Purchase	Chilari II		City							Σ»	_	š l	İ					[	- 1		- 1	- 1	WP   Wgs
914-251-0400													_	Arometics				۱ ۱				, J		Į,	FB-Fold Blank EB-Equipment Blanc
Europhyty) (distants)	Phone 6	Provid Haragar			-	K.								Ĕ								ļ			76-Rene Store 19-Tex Store
N. Rivera, R. Shuart, R. C'Rellly, T	Taylor	Terry Taylor		Collection					No.			_	-	22				.				, J		- 1	
								Т	T	J	Tail	1												ľ	
Field ID / Point of Collecto	n	MED-ICI VIII I	Ose	Time	Samples by	-	e al hulles	ا إوا	9	98		9 19	Ш	5								ļ		- 1	LAB USE ONLY
-/ OSGP4 - GWD			6/20/16	1510	77	GW	2	2	Ť		#	1	Ħ	X	_				_		一	$\neg$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	
_2 OSGP4 - C W.5			10/30/16		77	GW	2	2	$\top$	+	П	$\vdash$		X					_			$\neg$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	
-3 OSGP 5-GWD				1345	17	GW	2	2	П	$\top$		7	$\sqcap$	x						$\Box$			$\neg$	ヿ	
OSGP5-GW5			7/5/16	1100	77	GW	2	2	$\Box$	-	П	_		x	_						$\neg$	$\neg$	一	$\exists$	
OSGP 6 - GWD			7/5/16			GW	1	2	11	-	17	-		x			$\Box$				$\neg$	$\neg$	$\neg$	$\neg$	
OSCP AX				1.7.10		CIV		4	₩	1	$\pm 1$	$\neg \vdash$		y.	- 7	2	$\neg$		_		$\neg$	$\neg$	$\neg$	$\neg$	
NA.						GIN		1	Н	+	H		1		-4	6	-				$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\rightarrow$	-	16B
								H	₩	+	₩	+	H			_	-	$\dashv$	-	$\vdash$		-			102
								Ц.	4	_	41		Н	_	_					$\Box$				-	
								1	Ш		Ш											_			
								Н	П		П		Ш												1
								П	П	Т	П	$\top$	П											П	
an Benedikasultus	THE STATE OF		with the same	vesit in		EB	-3/20			178 -	17	8 2		157	95	11/4	78	125	装	224	iin.	de	55m-1	157E	sos visioni (4)
Turnground Time ( Business or	aya)	ARREST (~27)	(本一/ SWEET	PARTIE PARTIE	-								579	47.5	994.9	zamet.	uld and	Jan.	Corre	-m /	Special	ingirus	Jons 14	poster	emica esperant
201. 16 Business Days		phonorma of fying	merekisine þ	_			M-V- K				_		لسقمهم												
10 Day Rush		BAPAR	10 10				ini TOT ( L. Lavoi 344		)	-	-	NAP C	alangar) ma	,		- 10	ACTIVA I	ABE			-	25-	~		
☐ 8 Day RUSH	- 4	191	97			Li Radin				ř	J	C Fee					II I IVAI	- ABL	534	ENT.					
3 Day EMERGENCY		200	祖昌			Commove						-									w	_			
I Day SHERODICY							Commerce										OBL	AFM	FIC	TION		_			
1 Day EMERGENCY Emergency & Reals SA data predates VA.	Labber		_				Commerci ALI Reduc						nyani Ra	des Edit											
THAT I DESIGNATION OF THE PERSONS		80	aple Custody m						char	90 P						tell-e	у.	737	- Y	54755	ALC: N	A.	Defend	2,67	
DONAL	7/5	116 700	Fe	OE)	<			Parkers Z	-	Byt			F	1		- 11	<del>۳</del> ح	9	A	2	D	رس	27/2	W.	
Refragators by Empire:	Quite Time:		Parament By:					4	فمخبس	Byt							Oes Te	-		4	<b>B</b> yr				
Redocuments of by:	Date Torus		Personnel Sty.			-	-	770	2	50						-	4	نطب	<u> </u>		マ	Dailys.	2.5	-	e
5			2					٤٤,	حكو			_		-	_						-76	-	·	*	

MC46737: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 2

### **EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE**

SDG No:

MC46737

Laboratory: A

**Accutest, Massachusetts** 

Analysis:

**MADEP EPH** 

Number of Samples:

Location:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Five (5) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

**Critical findings:** 

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB, no further

qualification required.

**COMMENTS:** 

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** 

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

July 22, 2016

## SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC46737-1

Sample location: BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Sampling date: 6/30/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	6.0	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	6.0	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	43.3	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	40.7	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	60.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	43.3	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Sampling date: 6/30/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	Ų	Yes	
Atrazine	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.8	ug/!	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	บ	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.6	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	35.9	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	49.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.6	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Sampling date: 7/1/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Docult	Haita	Dilution Footon	Lab Class	Validation	Donostoble
*	Result		Dilution Factor	Lab Flag		*
Acenaphthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.9	ug/i	1	•	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.9	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	35.5	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	32.9	ug/l	1	Вt	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	51.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	35.5	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes

. . . .

Sample location: BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Sampling date: 7/5/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Atrazine	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	Ų	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	Ų	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	54.3	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	32.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	42.9	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	53.8	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes

. . . .

Sample location: BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Sampling date: 7/5/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

11100.	02700						
Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Atrazine	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	Ų	Yes	
Chrysene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.6	ug/!	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.6	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	Ų	Yes	
Pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.9	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	32.3	ug/i	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	53.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.9	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes	

Type of validation	Full:X Limited:	Project Number:_MC46737
REVIEW OF EXT	RACTABLE PETROLE	EUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE
validation actions. This more informed decision were assessed accord precedence METHOE HYDROCARBONS (EF (2004). Also the gener Support Section. The Common control of the	document will assist the n and in better serving to ling to the data validation FOR THE DETERMOPH), Massachusetts Deparal validation guidelines	le organics were created to delineate required reviewer in using professional judgment to make the needs of the data users. The sample results on guidance documents in the following order of MINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM artment of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes ation actions listed on the data review worksheets so otherwise noted.
The hardcopied (laboreceived has been revireview for SVOCs included)	ewed and the quality cor	st_Laboratories data package ntrol and performance data summarized. The data
Equipment blank No.:	5	Sample matrix:Groundwater
X Data CompletX Holding TimeN/A GC/MS TuninN/A Internal StandX BlanksX Surrogate ReX Matrix Spike/I	s g lard Performance coveries	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
Overall _Extractable_Petroleum (C9_to_C36_Aliphatics	n_Hydrocarbons_by_GC ;_C11_to_C22_(Aromati	Comments: _by_Method_MADEP_EPH,_REV_1.1 cs)
Definition of Qualifiers:		
J- Estimated resu U- Compound not R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated none Reviewer: A Car Date: 07/22/2016	detected	

	Criteria were not r	met and/or see below
I. DATA COMPLETNE A. Data Packag		
MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
B. Other		Discrepancies:

All criteria were met	_X
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED	DATE ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples	extracted and ar	nalyzed within me	thod recommend	ed holding time
				<u>_</u>
	l			

## <u>Criteria</u>

### Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 ± 2 °C immediately after collection.

#### Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): \_\_\_2.4°C

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

		Crite	All criteria eria were not met and/o	a were metX or see below	
CALIBRAT	IONS VERIFIC	ATION			
Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.					
Date	e of initial calib	ration:06/22	/16		
Dat	es of initial calil	oration verification:_	06/22/13		
Inst	rument ID num	bers:GCD	E		
Matrix/Level:AQUEOUS/MEDIUM					
				_	
DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r		
	nitial and conti	nuing calibration me	et method specific requ	uirements	

#### Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest.
   When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
  - The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
  - o The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

#### Criteria- CCAL

 At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and

- at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

#### Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects. If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

## **CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION**

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	06/22/16
Dates of continuing calibration verification:_	07/08/16
Dates of final calibration verification:	07/08/16
Instrument ID numbers:GCDE	
Matrix/Level:_SOIL/AQUEOUS/MEDIUM	

	DATE	LAB FILE   ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED			
1	Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements							
į								

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

		С	riteria were not m		ere met pelowX
V A. BLAN	K ANALYSIS RI	ESULTS (Se	ctions 1 & 2)		
magnitude of blanks associ problems with evaluated to case, or if the Method Blank	contamination pated with the solution any blanks edetermine whether problem is an	problems. The amples, inclusives, all data her or not the isolated occurrence after sample	results is to describe a criteria for evaluding trip, equipment associated with the ere is an inherent affects suspected of led.	luation of blank nent, and labora n the case mu t variability in the cting other data	s apply only to atory blanks. If st be carefully he data for the . A Laboratory
List the conta separately.	mination in the	blanks belov	w. High and low	levels blanks m	nust be treated
Laboratory bla	anks				
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENT UNITS	RATION
_CASES_DE	SCRIBED_IN_T	THIS_DOCUM _Aqueous/lov	OD SPECIFIC C MENT wC9-C18_Aliph C19-C36_Alip	natics32	.5_ug/l
Note:	limits. Analyte	es detected ts. Laborato	od blank at a conding sample batch ry qualified the	above MDL	but below the
Field/Trip/Equ	ipment				
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENT	RATION
			S_ANALYZED_AS		VITH_THIS

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not met and/or see below_	

# V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

#### **Blank Actions**

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

CAMPLEID

		All criter	ia were	met_	_X
Criteria w	ere not	met and	or see	below	

ACTION

#### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

CURROCATE COMPOUND

SAINT LL ID	301/1/	SURROGATE COMPOUND			WC LIOIA	
	S1	S2	S3	<b>S4</b>		
_SURROGATE	_STAND/	ARDS_RECOV	/ERIES_WITH	IIN_LABORAT	TORY_CONTROL	
_LIMITS						
10331 1300 333			E-4			
C4 - a Tamba	and 40 44	00/	C2 - 2 F	l a a la	40.4409/	
S1 = o-Terpher				luorobiphenyl		
S3 = 1-Chlorod	octadecane	e 40-140%	S4 = 2-B	romonaphthal	ene 40-140%	
QC Limits (%)*	(Aqueous	s)				
_LL_to_UL_	40 to 14	10 40 to 14	10 40 to	140 40 to	140	
QC Limits* (So	lid)				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
_LL_to_UL_	to	to	to	to		

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

All criteria were met	
Criteria were not met and/or see belowN/A	

## VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

MS/MSD Recov	reries and Precision C	riteria			
Sample ID:			-	Matrix/Level:_	
lich die O/De D		ما ما ما ما ما ما ما ما ما		ha OO adhada	
List the %Ks, K	PD of the compounds	wnich do no	i meet t	ne QU chtena.	
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
S					
n <u>e</u>			,	79	
					<del></del>

Note: No MS/MSD analyzed with this sample batch. BS/BSD used to assess accuracy. % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits. No action taken.

All criteria were metN/A_						
No action is taken or informed professional conjunction with other data. In those instarraffect only the samp However, it may be do a systematic proble associated samples.	al judgment, the er QC criteria an nces where it ca le spiked, the que letermined throug	data d deter n be d ualifica h the	reviewer imine the statement the statement to the statement to the statement of the stateme	may use the MS need for some quality that the results do be limited to the esults that the lab	/MSD results in palification of the of the MS/MSD is sample alone, oratory is having	
2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds						
List the concentration compounds in the un						
COMPOUND	CONCENTRAT SAMPLE	ION MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION	
		-				
Criteria: None specifi	ad usa %PSD <	50 as	profession	al judament	<del></del>	
·	ed, use ////OD 3	JU 43	profession	iai juuginent.		
Actions:						
If the % RSD > 50, qualify the % RSD is not MSD, use profession.	calculable (NC)	due to	nondetec	t value in the san		

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

10

	All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
VIII.	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS
This omatrices.	data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various
1.	LCS Recoveries Criteria
	List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria
LCS ID	COMPOUND % R QC LIMIT ACTION
LCS_REC	OVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS
Criteri	ia: Refer to QAPP for specific criteria. The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.
	ns on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds are outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of
the associate If the %R of for the affecte If more than	the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in ed samples and accept nondetects. the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects ed analyte in the associated samples. half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, sitive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the amples.
2. Frequ	ency Criteria:
per matrix)? ' If no, the dat the effect and	analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples Yes or No.  a may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of d qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples cuss the actions below:

		All criteria were metX_ Criteria were not met and/or see below	
IX.	FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE	PRECISION	
Sampl	le IDs:	Matrix:	

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE	DUPLICATE	RPD	ACTION	
		CONC.	CONC.			
	ŀ					
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. BS/BSD recoveries RPD used to assess precision. RPD within laboratory and generally acceptable control limits						

#### Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. RPD  $\pm$  30% for aqueous samples, RPD  $\pm$  50 % for solid samples if results are  $\geq$  SQL. If both samples and duplicate are  $\leq$ 5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

#### Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is  $\geq 5x$  the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

**Note:** If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

	All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not	met and/or see below	

#### XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
  - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
  - o The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
  - o All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
  - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
  - o The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
  - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
  - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
  - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
  - o Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

Comments: Not applicable.

	Criteria were not	All criteria were metX t met and/or see below
2.	If target analytes and/or TiCs were not correctly laboratory resubmit the corrected data.	identified, request that the
3.	Breakthrough determination - Each sample (field evaluated for potential breakthrough on a sample sponsor recovery of the fractionation surrogate (2-bromo basis by quantifying naphthalene and 2-methylnaple and aromatic fractions of the LCS and LCSD. If an aphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliph the total concentration for naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene or 1 and 1 an	pecific basis by evaluating the naphthalene) and on a batch on thalene in both the aliphatic either the concentration of atic fraction exceeds 5% of thylnaphthalene in the LCS rehived batch extracts.  of naphthalene or 2-CS/LCSD pair includes the entration detected in the
	Comments:Concentration_in_the_aliphatic_fraction_concentration_for_naphthalene_and_2-methylnaph	
4.	Fractionation Check Standard – A fractionation containing 14 alkanes and 17 PAHs at a nominal each constituent. The Fractionation Check Solution fractionation efficiency of each new lot of silica gel optimum hexane volume required to efficiently elute not allowing significant aromatic hydrocarbon breacontained in the fractionation check solution, exclusive except must be between 40 and 140%. A 30% from the fractional formula in th	concentration of 200 ng/µl of must be used to evaluate the /cartridges, and establish the aliphatic hydrocarbons while akthrough. For each analyte uding n-nonane, the Percent
	Is a fractionation check standard analyzed?	Yes? or No?

All cri	teria were metX
Criteria were not met a	nd/or see below

## XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

MC46737-1

EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)

RF = 124800

[] = (2253246)/(124800)

[] = 18.05 ppb Ok

MC46737-1

EPH (C19 - C36, Aliphatics)

RF = 77820

[] = (1965161)/(77820)

[] = 25.25 ppb Ok

- 2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
- 3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
	1	***************************************

If dilution was not performed, affected samples/compounds:	results	(J)	for	the	affected	compounds.	List the